

Prelude-LML - Feature #315

Using Named variables in PCRE ruleset

09/13/2008 08:42 PM -

Status: New	Start date:
Priority: Normal	Due date:
Assignee:	% Done: 0%
Category:	Estimated time: 0.00 hour
Target version:	
Resolution:	
Description	
Named Variables in pcre:	
This would make for quicker and simpler rules to be created in prelude-lml.	
Example from ntsyslog.rules:	
<pre>regex=security\[success\] 528 (.*) Successful Logon: User Name:(?<username>[\w]+) Domain:(?<domain>.+) Logon ID:\(?<lid>.*\) Logon Type:(?<ltype>\d+) Logon Process:(?<lprocess>\w+) .* Workstation Name:(?<wks>\S+); classification.text=Login; \ classification.reference(0).origin=vendor-specific; \ classification.reference(0).meaning=Windows Event ID; \ classification.reference(0).name=528; \ classification.reference(0).url=http://www.ultimatewindowssecurity.com/events/com189.html; \ id=1401; \ revision=3; \ analyzer(0).name=NTsyslog; \ analyzer(0).manufacturer=ntsyslog.sourceforge.net; \ analyzer(0).class=Logging; \ assessment.impact.severity=low; \ assessment.impact.completion=succeeded; \ assessment.impact.type=user; \ assessment.impact.description=\$username successfully logged on on \$wks (\$domain domain) via \$1 type; \ source(0).process.name=\$5; \ source(0).node.address(0).category=unknown; \ source(0).node.address(0).address=\$wks; \ source(0).node.name=\$wks; \ source(0).user.category=os-device; \ source(0).user.user_id(0).type=current-user; \ source(0).user.user_id(0).name=\$username; \ target(0).user.user_id(0).type=current-user; \ target(0).user.user_id(0).name=\$username; \ additional_data(0).type=integer; \ additional_data(0).meaning=Logon type; \ additional_data(0).data=\$ltype; \ additional_data(1).type=string; \ additional_data(1).meaning=Authentication domain; \ additional_data(1).data=\$domain; \ last</pre>	

History

#1 - 09/13/2008 08:43 PM -

Sorry forgot to use my email address.

#2 - 09/15/2008 03:13 PM - Yoann VANDOORSELAERE

Implementing named variables would ease ruleset writing, but I am curious about the performance impact it would have. Could you write a small

performance test, to compare the speed of captured string retrieval using index/variable?

#3 - 04/29/2009 12:21 PM - Yoann VANDOORSELAERE

- *Project changed from PRELUDE SIEM to Prelude-LML*
- *Category deleted (4)*
- *Target version deleted (93)*

#4 - 04/30/2009 01:25 PM - Yoann VANDOORSELAERE

- *Target version set to 0.9.15*

#5 - 09/23/2013 10:49 AM - Jean-Charles ROGEZ

- *Target version changed from 0.9.15 to 121*

#6 - 11/08/2015 12:00 PM - Thomas ANDREJAK

- *Target version changed from 121 to Prelude OSS 3.0.0*

#7 - 04/23/2016 07:50 PM - Thomas ANDREJAK

- *Target version changed from Prelude OSS 3.0.0 to Prelude OSS 3.1.0*

#8 - 05/29/2016 04:27 PM - Thomas ANDREJAK

- *Target version deleted (Prelude OSS 3.1.0)*